Survey Instruments: Digital or manual? A field comparison of relative accuracy and practicality of usage.

Scale 1 = 00

Aaron J. Bird<sup>1</sup> Rachel F. Bosch<sup>2</sup> David A. Riggs<sup>3</sup> Lynn Brucker<sup>4</sup>

1. Oakland University, 2200 Squirrel Rd., RM 363 Hannah Hall, Rochester, MI 48309, <u>bird2@oakland.edu</u> 2. 1269 Woodfield St., Lake Orion, MI 48362, <u>rachel.bosch@gmail.com</u> 3. 637 Afton St., Morgantown, WV 26505, <u>driggs@speleo.us</u> 4. Cave Research Foundation lynn.brucker@sbcglobal.net



### **Measuring Distance**

#### Survey Tapes Graduated in Tenths of Feet

#### .5 1001.6 7 9 .8 1 ▲5 FIBER 6 GLASS 7 -8METALL NON 3 OFT **Digital Laser Measurement Device** CLEAR 2008 NSS Convention - Lake City, FL, USA



### **Survey Computer**

- Recording the data
- Crunching the data
- Sketching the cave

2008 NSS Convention - Lake City, FL, USA

ATCH HERE FIRS

## Why use digital survey tools?

- Reduce or eliminate blunders
- Increase accuracy of survey data
- Increase repeatability of data
- Make surveying process easier
- Make surveying process faster

### **Common blunders during the survey trip**

Compiled by Bob Hoke and Pat Kambesis Compass & Tape(V17n4i60p17)

- Reading wrong side of survey tape for tapes with different graduations on either side
- Reading wrong direction in compass, called "Decade Inversion"
- Magnetic effects
- Communication problems
- Recording errors
  2008 NSS Convention Lake City, FL, USA

### **Common blunders ...**

- Reading wrong side of survey tape for tapes with different graduations on either side
  - Disto readout set for feet in tenths
  - Reading wrong direction in compass, called "Decade Inversion"
    - TruPulse or Attack Pony displays azimuth in degrees
  - Magnetic effects

۲

- Got to minimize them no matter what device is used
- **Communication problems** 
  - Be nice, be polite, be patient. 😊
- Recording errors
  - Can happen with/without digital devices
  - Using BlueTooth where data automatically uploaded to computer

### Mark Passerby: "Suggested equipment for ICDS"



### Some Background on In-Cave Digital Survey

- Mark Passerby (Caves.Com Magazine, 2003): "Perhaps nothing is changing faster in caving than the surveying process."
  - Reviewed Disto Laser Measure and concluded it was a very functional device. (Many surveyors use Distos and other laser measuring tools today.)
  - Reviewed CheckPoint Inclo-Matic concluding it was a very good tool. (Many devices are available today.)
  - Reviewed Bushnell digital compass with conclusion that, "...digital compasses aren't there yet."

## Now... fast forward to 2008, Online Survey Results

- Online survey conducted from July 18 August 2008
- A total of 52 respondents
- Most use Suuntos
- Most use Disto (laser range finders)
- Many believe digital tools are ready for incave use
- Excepting laser range finders, few actually use digital survey tools



Process for Using Digital Survey Tools Differs from that of Traditional Sighting Instruments

- Calibrate to known standard azimuths and inclinations
- Prepare the instrument for the cave environment
  - Waterproof, impact proof cases
  - Extra batteries
  - Targets

 Collect data according to proper use of instrument

- Data collection is not necessarily like using Suuntos

## **Calibration: Suuntos?**

- Traditional cave surveying instruments can be "corrected" (Andreatta, 2005).
  - The instruments, and their readers, do require checking for accuracy using known survey stations and possible adjustment by a correction factor
    - Goal is frontsight/backsight agreement of +/- 1 degree
    - Dasher (1995): "...probable that more error will creep into the survey from instruments' users inability to accurately shoot the instrument..."
    - Andreatta (2005): "Assuming no major blunders, the largest source of error is instrument error."

## **Calibration: Digital**

- Digital instruments do require calibration
- TruPulse 360 calibration procedure takes ~1 minute and can be done anywhere, provided magnetic north is known within +/- 17 degrees (LaserTechnology, 2007)
- Shetland Attack Pony calibration procedure (Underwood, 2007) takes up to 2 hours or as little as 30 minutes, has been done in a cave (Brucker, 2008), must be done free from magnetic or EMF interference

### **TruPulse Calibration Procedure**

- Turn on instrument
- Cycle menu to calibration
- Take 8 shots according to calibration process
- Wait for "PASS" or "FAIL"
- If PASS, use instrument
- Takes ~ 1 minute for a practiced user
  - ~3 minutes for an unpracticed user

## **Pony Calibration Procedure**

- From the manufacturer (Underwood, 2007)
  - Set Pony to "Raw" data collection
  - Run the calibration course with Suuntos or other instruments to determine "Truth" shots
  - Collect data with Pony in four orientations

#### Pony at FROM station





### Evaluating Effectiveness of Device after Calibration

- Goal: +/- 1 Degree (CRF Field Handbook; Andreatta, C&Tv17n1i57p20, 2005)
- Achieved in some tests at the Cave Research Foundation Frontsight/Backsight course at Hamilton Valley Fieldstation
- Achieved multiple times in backyard
  - calibration course in Lake Orion

|--|

CRF Hamilton Valley Fieldstation, 07/25/08

	Azim	uth	Inclir	nation
	Frontsight	Backsight	Frontsight	Backsight
Pony	128	309	0	0
Suunto	129	308	0	0

	Lake Orion,	MI, 07/31/0	8
Azim	uth	Inclin	ation
Frontsight	Backsight	Frontsight	Backsight

Pony 294 114 0 -1

#### Lake Orion, MI, 08/04/08

Inclination

Azimuth		
Azimuti		

	Frontsight	Backsight	Frontsight	Backsight
Pony	294	115	0	-1
Suunto	294	114	0	-1

### **Field Testing**

- Dogwood Cave, KY
- Roppel Cave, KY
- Whigpistle Cave, KY (no shots taken, "survivability exam 1")
- Martin Ridge Cave, KY (shots taken, "survivability exam 2")
- Windy Slope Cave, WV (shots taken, "survivability exam 3")
- Colossal Cave, KY

### CRF Hamilton Valley Fieldstation, 06/15/2008

	Suu	nto	TruP	ulse	S/	AP	Azimut	h > 1º	Inclinat	ion > 1º
STA	AZI	INC	AZI	INC	AZI	INC	Suunto - TruPulse	Suunto - SAP	Suunto - TruPulse	Suunto - SAP
0 to 1	144	9.5	144	9.4	144	9				
0 to 2	126	1.5	126.3	1.6	126	1				
0 to 3	121	10	119.7	9.9	120	10	1.3			
0 to 4	73	19	72.6	19.1	73	19				
0 to 5	357	-6.5	356.7	-7.7	356	-7			1.2	
0 to 6	337.5	12.5	336.5	11.4	336	11		1.5	1.1	
0 to 7	301	6	300.2	5.9	300	6				
0 to 8	294	7.5	293.4	6.5	293	6				1.5
0 to 9	206.5	0	205.6	-0.9	206	0				
0 to 10	163	3	162.9	1.6	163	1			1.4	2
0 to 11	164	-9	162.8	-9.6	163	-9	1.2			
0 to 12	152.5	2	151	2.1	151	2	1.5	1.5		

#### 38 < 1 degree, 10 > 1 degree, 0 > 2 degrees

### Dogwood Cave, KY 06/16/2008

Instrument	AZI	INC
Suunto 1	321	-7
Suunto 2	324	-7
TruPulse 360 1	322.2	-6.5
TruPulse 360 2	322.2	-5.9
SAP 1	321	-7
SAP 2	321	-7

#### 1 outlier? 2 > 1 degree, 0 > 2 degrees

### Roppel Cave, KY 06/18/2008

	Frontsight		Backsight		
Instrument	AZI	INC	AZI	INC	
Suunto 1	327.5	0	147.5	0	
Suunto 2	327.5	0	151.5	-0.5	
SAP 1 (A&R)	328	-1	152	0	
SAP 2 (L&R)	327	-1	153	0	

5 < 1 degree, 3 outliers? Probably not... something going on here.

### Martin Ridge Cave, KY 07/26/2008

Instrument	AZI	INC	AZI	INC
Suunto 1, AJB	41	+7	220	-7
Suunto 1, LAB	41	+7	221	-8
SAP, AJB	39	6	218	-8
SAP, LAB	39	6	218	-8

### Windy Slope Cave, WV 08/02/2008 High-Angle Shots

Front (SA	sight AP)	Back (Suui	sight ntos)
AZI	INC	AZI	INC
125	-7	306.5	5
121	32	301	-31
117	41	297	-41
278	37	98	-36

3 < 1 degree, 1 = 1.5 degrees; 1 inclination = 2 degrees

# Colossal Cave, Mammoth Cave, KY 08/02/08, no shots > 1 degree different

	Frontsight		Backsight		
	359	11	180	-11	
	306	11	127	-11	
	340	1	161	-1	
	25	0	204	0	
	357	0	177	0	
NSA	14	7	194	-7	
	319	-12	139	11	
City	158	-44	339	45	
ake	88	-5	267	4	
-	105	0	284	0	
entic	70	-6	249	6	
NUO	87	-80	267	80	
SS	176	-18	355	18	
08 V	197	-20	17	19	
20	152	-5	331	5	
	143	21	323	-21	
	215	3	35	-3	
	196	0	15	0	
	210	1	30	-1	
	174	0	354	-1	



## Average Difference in Azimuths<sup>^</sup> and Inclinations<sup>\*</sup>

- Dogwood Cave = 0.6 degrees<sup>^</sup>, excluding outlier; 1.2 degrees<sup>^</sup>, including
- Roppel Cave = 0.6 degrees<sup>^</sup>, excluding; 2.1<sup>^</sup>, including
- Martin Ridge Cave = 1.3 degrees^
- Windy Slope = 1 degree\*
- Colossal Cave = 0.55 degree<sup>^</sup>, 0.25 degree<sup>\*</sup>; loop closed in Colossal with gross error of 0.5 feet horizontal, 1.65 vertical

## Survivability Exams...

- Whigpistle Cave, KY
  - Pony packed in Otterbox and Drybag, padded with Fleece pullover
  - Cave pack was completely immersed for hundreds of feet of cave passage
  - Attack pony survived!
- Martin Ridge Cave, KY
  - Pony packed in Otterbox and Drybag
  - Cave pack was beaten around like a normal pack is
  - Attack pony survived!
- Windy Slope Cave, WV
  - Pony packed in Otterbox and Drybag, padded with Fleece pullover
  - Cave pack was immersed, dragged, dropped, beaten up, and immersed again
  - Attack pony survived!

## Useability

### • TruPulse 360

- Can be hand held, but mounting on a nonmagnetic tripod is much, much better
- Pony
  - Designed to be used at arm's length. For some shots getting the laser to "settle" down is difficult. In this case, use a nonmagnetic "bean bag."
- Laser Range Finders
  - Extremely easy to use, reasonably rugged, already relatively widely used for LRUDs

### **Overall Assessment**

Instrument	Accuracy	Precision (FSB)	Useabili ty	Calibration Procedure	In-Cave Usage	Cost	Overall
TruPulse 360	Excellent	Excellent on "good stations"	Excellent	Excellent	Poor (Instrument is not "cave proof")	\$1,600	Accurate and very easy to use, but too expensive, not cave proof
Suuntos	Excellent	Excellent on "good stations"	ОК	Very good, actually is a "correction" procedure	Excellent	\$200	Reliable, affordable, subject to blunders
Shetland Attack Pony	Excellent	Excellent on "good stations"	Very Good	Poor	Very Good	\$500	Accurate, very easy to use, but calibration is difficult

## Conclusions, Digital Devices for Cave Surveying

PROS	CONS
Easy to use	Costly
Very quick, reliable data collection	Requires calibration, usually in low light (nighttime, indoors, or in a cave)
Appear to be accurate within +/- 2 degrees (mostly w/in +/- 1 degree)	Not always cave proof, must be cared for while in cave

### Potential Future Directions

- BlueTooth functionality for Shetland Attack Pony
- Tools like PDA w/ Auriga may be used to update line plot in nearly real time
  - Applications would be go beyond cave surveying, could include mine surveying, search and rescue, building collapse rescue, environmental/exposure tracking, etc.

### Acknowledgements

- Cave Research Foundation
  - Hamilton Valley Fieldstation
- Roger Brucker Cave Research Foundation
- Tristan Bird 12-year old Astronaut Caver in Training
- Pat Kambesis Cave Research Foundation, Western Kentucky University
- Aaron Addison Cave Research Foundation, Washington University St. Louis
- Howard Kalnitz Cave Research Foundation
- Phil Underwood Creator of SAP, United Kingdom
- Lee Ann Bledsoe Western Kentucky University
- Larry Lee NIOSH/CDC
- Brian Masney Cheat Canyon Cave Survey
- Mary Schmidt Cheat Canyon Cave Survey
- Nikki Green Cheat Canyon Cave Survey
- Charles Fox Cave Research Foundation
- Greg Holbrook Cave Research Foundation

## References

- Andreatta, 2005. Compass and Tape, Vol. 17, No. 1, Issue 57, pg. 20.
- Dasher, George. 1995. Compass and Tape.
- Hoke, Bob and Kambesis, Pat. Common Blunders During the Survey Trip. Compass and Tape, Vol. 17, No. 4, Issue 60, pg 17.
- Passerby, Mark. InCaveDigitalSurvey.com <u>http://incavedigitalsurvey.com/board/YaBB.pl?num=1205624025</u> (accessed July 2008).
- Passerby, Mark. 2003. Caves.Com The Magazine.
- Laser Technology. 2007. TruPulse 360 User Manual
- Brucker, Lynn. 2008. Underground Calibration of the Shetland Attack Pony. To be published in Compass and Tape.
- Addison, Aaron. 2008. To be published
- Underwood, Phil. 2007. Shetland Attack Pony User Manual.

### Questions?

